

## Fighting Fraud in Real Time: 3 Recommendations

By: James Ruotolo, SAS

Insurers are obsessed with cycle time. They count the days it takes to make a claim adjudication decision, the minutes it takes to complete the loss intake process and the seconds it takes to process a transaction. Especially in high-volume environments, time is money.

In the wisdom of insurance claims executives, faster claim payments generally equate to better customer satisfaction and loyalty. Anything that slows the process is burdensome and costly.

Of course, accuracy is important too. Just printing checks for anyone who calls in to the claim center would be quick, but not terribly accurate. So the key to all great claims organizations is to strike the right balance between speed and accuracy.

When it comes to fraud investigation, historically the process has been anything but quick. Many organizations still rely on a manual process where adjusters identify red flags and notify the Special Investigation Unit (SIU) by email or even a paper form. There is some sort of triage process, and then an assignment to an investigator within the company or from a vendor partner. And then of course, it takes time to do the actual investigation: Schedule and reschedule appointments for interviews, track down witnesses, review evidence and document the findings.

Many organizations are implementing analytics to help streamline the fraud detection process. [The Coalition Against Insurance Fraud reports that more than 80% of US insurers are using some kind of fraud detection technology today, and nearly one-third expect increases in technology budgets with predictive models being a top area of investment.](#)

How fast is too fast?

Analytical fraud detection models provide insurers with a great advantage. They are ever-vigilant, always scanning the data and not letting anything fall through the cracks. They can quickly identify risk flags in new information as it is added to a claim file. Models can look across large numbers of claims to see patterns and identify relationships that would not be detectable by a human.

But one of the greatest benefits is speed. Optimized models can scan an entire book of business very quickly. Inevitably, when implementing this technology, the subject of "real-time" processing will come up. While speed is a key benefit of analytics, insurers must be

*The Coalition Against Insurance Fraud reports that more than 80% of US insurers are using some kind of fraud detection technology today, and nearly one-third expect increases in technology budgets with predictive models being a top area of investment.*



**Coalition Against  
Insurance Fraud**

mindful of how the analytics will be deployed. Insurers should define what is meant by "real-time." For many technology providers, real-time transaction processing involves sub-second response times most often used in credit card processing. While it is possible to design a similar solution for the insurance environment, it is expensive and often unnecessary. When evaluating processing needs, insurers should ask themselves a key question: Even if it is possible to get a "real-time" response, are we prepared to consume the results in real time? If not, other less expensive options might be preferable.

When considering options for implementation of an analytical fraud detection platform, there are a few options for processing. Real-time processing provides instantaneous response times, often measured in milliseconds. It is generally appropriate for high-volume transactions with a limited number of highly consistent variables when an immediate decision is required.

Near-real-time processing provides a short delay in response time, often measured in seconds or minutes. It can often be done by running intra-day batch cycles.